

## 5th AURO National Moot Court Competition on Criminal law

## **Moot Clarifications**

- 1. The Statements of Prosecution Witness 4 i.e. Brijmohan Paramsacche vary in various manners such as:
  - i. He has mentioned his Father's name in the Chargesheet and Examination in chief as different i.e. Mr. Raghuram and Mr. Kesri Lal respectively.

Clarification: - Name of Father in law is Sajjuram son of Kesrilal aka Raghuram Paramsachee

- ii. The Cross Examination date is 3/3/2017 and in the third line he says "I was informed about this incident on 21/4/2018"Clarification:- The date is 21/4/2016 in place of 21/4/2018
- 2. Charge is Framed under Sec. 228, Cr.P.C in a trial before the Sessions Court. The problem mentions that charge has been framed under Sec. 216 Cr.P.C, a provision which provides for alteration or addition to a charge already framed. If the Charge has been altered by the Court, then kindly notify us about the altered charge.

Clarification: - On the basis of the statements received the charges Under Section 228 of Cr.P.C. were framed.

3. Any other variation in the dates or apparent mistakes in the chargesheet will, as per the Criminal Law principles, will be considered as the lacuna in the investigation and go in the favour of the accused.